119 ORIGINAL

STATE OF CONNECTICUT, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, AND THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, PLAINTIFF

V.

NEW HAMPSHIRE

FILED:
October 30, 1991 — Motion for leave to file Bill of Complaint

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF: Richard Blumenthal, Scott Harshbarger, James E. O'Neil

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT: Jeffery R. Howard

Oct. 30, 1991	Motion for leave to file Bill of Complaint filed.
Dec. 27, 1991	Brief of amici curiae of New England Council and Associated Industries of Massachusetts in support of the motion filed.
Dec. 30, 1991	Brief in opposition to motion for leave to file Bill of Complaint filed.
Dec. 31, 1991	DISTRIBUTED. Jan. 17, 1992.
Jan. 10, 1992	Reply of Connecticut, et al. in support of the motion for leave to file Bill of Complaint filed.
Jan. 21, 1992	REDISTRIBUTED. Jan. 24, 1992.
Jan. 27, 1992	**ORDER:** Motion for leave to file Bill of Complaint is GRANTED. Defendant is allowed 60 days in which to file an answer. The Chief Justice and Justice Scalia would set the motion for oral argument. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration or the decision of this motion.
Mar. 25, 1992	Answer of the defendant New Hampshire filed.
Mar. 25, 1992	DISTRIBUTED. Apr. 17, 1992.
Mar. 27, 1992	Motion on behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel for leave to intervene, for leave to file Bill of Complaint, and brief in support of the motion to intervene filed.
Apr. 9, 1992	Response by the New Hampshire to the motion of Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel for leave to intervene and for leave to file Complaint received.
Apr. 16, 1992	Motion of United Illuminating Company, et al. for leave to intervene filed.
Apr. 27, 1992	**ORDER:** it is ordered that the Honorable Vincent L. McKusic, retired Chief Justice, Supreme Judicial Court of Maine, be appointed Special Master in this case with authority to fix time and conditions for the filing of additional pleadings and to direct subsequent proceedings,

5 pp

	with authority to summon witnesses, issue subpoenas, and take such evidence as maybe introduced and such he may deem it necessary to call for. The Master is directed to submit such reports as he may deem appropriate. The compensation of the Special Master, the allowances to him, the compensation paid to his legal, technical, stenographic, and clerical assistants, cost of printing his reports, and all other proper expenses, including travel expenses, shall be charged against and be borne by the parties in such proportion as the Court may hereafter direct. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration or decision of this order.
Apr. 29, 1992	Response by New Hampshire to the motion of United Illuminating Co., et al. to intervene filed.
Apr. 29, 1992	DISTRIBUTED. May 15, 1992.
May 4, 1992	Oath of the Special Master filed.
May 8, 1992	Amended oath of the Special Master filed.
May 13, 1992	Reply brief of United Illuminating Co., et al. in support of the motion to intervene filed.
May 18, 1992	**ORDER:** The motion of Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel for leave to intervene is referred to the Special Master. The motion of United Illuminating Co., et al. for leave to intervene is referred to the Special Master. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration of these motions.
May 26, 1992	First Interim Report by the Special Master received.
June 15, 1992	**ORDER:** The First Interim Report of the Special Master is received and ordered filed. The Recommendations of the Special Master are adopted. It is ORDERED that the motion of Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel for leave to intervene is DENIED. It is further ORDERED that the motion of United Illuminating Company, New England Power Co., Connecticut Light and Power Co., Canal Electric Co., Montaup Electric Co., and Taunton Municipal Lighting Plant for

119 ORIGINAL
STATE OF CONNECTICUT, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, AND THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, PLAINTIFF
V.
NEW HAMPSHIRE

	leave to intervene is GRANTED. The defendant is allowed 20 days within which to file and serve its answer to the complaint of the intervening plaintiffs. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration or the decision of these orders.
Jun. 16, 1992	Motion of Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Co. for leave to participate as amicus curiae filed. (NP)
Jun. 17, 1992	DISTRIBUTED. Jun. 19, 1992 (above motion)
Jun. 22, 1992	**ORDER:** The motion of Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company for leave to participate as amicus curiae in this case is GRANTED. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration or decision of this motion.
Jul. 1, 1992	Answers of the Defendant New Hampshire to the complaint of the utility intervenors filed.
Jul. 22, 1992	DISTRIBUTED. Sept. 28, 1992 (answer of NH)
Oct. 5, 1992	**ORDER:** Answer of New Hampshire to the complaint of utility intervenors is referred to the Special Master. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration or decision of this order.
Dec. 31, 1992	Report of the Special Master received. Docket of the Special Master filed.
Jan. 6, 1993	DISTRIBUTED. Feb. 19, 1993 (Report of Special Master)
Jan. 11,1993	REDISTRIBUTED. Jan. 15, 1993 (Report of Special Master)
Jan. 15, 1993	**ORDER:** The Report of the Special Master is received and ordered filed. Exceptions to the Report, with supporting briefs may be filed by the parties. Such exceptions must be received by the Clerk and served upon opposing counsel on or before 3:00pm, March 1, 1993. Reply briefs, if any, must be received by the Clerk and served upon opposing counsel on or before 3:00pm March 31, 1993. Rule 29 does not apply. The case is set for oral argument during the session beginning April 19, 1993. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration or decision of this order.

Feb. 1, 1993	Supplement to Final Report of the Special Master received.
Feb. 3, 1993	DISTRIBUTED. Feb. 3, 1993 (above supplement)
Feb. 17, 1993	Motion of the Special Master for award of fee and disbursements.
Feb. 19, 1993	**ORDER:** The Supplement to the Final Report of the Special Master is received and **ORDERED** filed. Exceptions to this Report, with supporting briefs, are to be included in the Exceptions referenced in the order of Jan. 15, 1993. Such Exceptions must be received by the Clerk on or before 3:00pm, Monday March 15, 1993. Reply briefs, if any, are to be included the reply briefs referenced in the order of Jan. 15, 1993. Such reply briefs are to be filed with the Clerk and served upon opposing counsel on or before 3:00pm., Wednesday, April 7, 1993. Rule 29 does not apply. The case is set for oral argument during the session beginning April 19, 1993. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration or decision of this order.
Mar. 1, 1993	Record filed.
Mar. 15, 1993	Exceptions of intervenors United Illuminating Co., et al., to Report of Special Master filed.
Mar. 15, 1993	Exceptions of New Hampshire to Report of Special Master filed.
Mar. 16, 1993	CIRCULATED.
Mar. 19, 1993	Motion of Massachusetts for divided argument and for additional time for oral argument filed.
Mar. 22, 1993	Motion of intervenors United Illuminating Co., et al. for divided argument filed.
Mar. 26, 1993	Memorandum in support of motion of Massachusetts for divided argument and for additional time for oral argument by plaintiffs Connecticut and Rhode Island.
Apr. 5, 1993	**ORDER:** The motion of Massachusetts for divided argument and for additional time for oral argument is denied. The motion of intervenors United Illuminating Company, et al. for divided argument is granted to be divided as follows: 20 minutes for the plaintiffs and 10 minutes for the intervenors. Justice Souter took no part in the consideration or decision of these motions.
Apr. 6, 1993	Reply of Plaintiff States (CT, MA, RI) to Exceptions of New Hampshire.
Apr. 7, 1993	Reply brief for the State of New Hampshire filed.
Apr. 7, 1993	Reply brief for Intervenors filed.
Apr. 16, 1993	DISMISSED under Rule 46.